arXiv:1409.6569v1 [math.DG] 5 Aug 2014

COHOMOLOGY OF FLAT BUNDLES AND A CHERN-SIMONS FUNCTIONAL

YANGHYUN BYUN AND JOOHEE KIM

Abstract. We show that a flat principal bundle with compact connected structure group and its adjoint bundles of Lie groups have the same cohomology as the trivial bundle, which is done by proving they satisfy the condition for the Leray-Hirsch theorem. This information has been used to construct a cohomology class of the adjoint bundle of a flat bundle whose restriction to each fiber is the class of the Maurer-Cartan 3-form. Then we use this result to define an invariant of a gauge transformation of a flat bundle which describes the effect of the gauge transformation on a Chern-Simons functional.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Connections 4
3. The Maurer-Cartan 3-form of a connected compact Lie group 5
4. The Chern-Simons functional defined by a section 7
5. The Chern-Simons functional defined by a reference connection 11
6. Cohomology of flat bundles 13
7. The Maurer-Cartan 3-forms on the adjoint bundle 15
8. The Chern-Simons functional under gauge transformations 18
References 20

1. Introduction

The main themes of this paper are to calculate the cohomology of flat bundles, to use the result for a rigourous definition of the degree of a gauge transformation of a flat bundle and subsequently to describe the effect of a gauge transformation on a Chern-Simons functional. However a large portion is devoted to introducing the relevant basic materials. We hope this makes the manuscript truly self-contained in the sense that a reader does not have to gather the references to learn or get reminded of some basic notions in gauge theory. The contents of this paper are the results of a few years of collaboration of the authors and constitute the thesis submitted to Hanyang University as a partial fulfillment for the Ph. D. of the second author.

Let G be a Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$. We consider a principal G-bundle $\pi : P \to M$ over a smooth manifold M. Let $\mathcal{A}(P) \subset \Omega^1(P;\mathcal{G})$ denote the set of all connections on P. Choose an $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ and an Ad-invariant inner product

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C05, 55R20.

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{G}$. Let A be any connection and $F_A \in \Omega^2(P; \mathcal{G})$ denote the curvature of A. Then we consider the 3-form

$$cs(A) = \langle (F_A + F_{A_0}) \wedge (A - A_0) \rangle - \frac{1}{6} \langle (A - A_0) \wedge [(A - A_0) \wedge (A - A_0)] \rangle.$$

The notational conventions used in this formula are explained in §3 below.

Since $cs(A)$ is horizontal and invariant, it may be regarded as a form on M. Now assume that M is an oriented closed 3-manifold. Then we may define a function, $CS : \mathcal{A}(P) \to \mathbb{R}$, by the equation:

$$CS(A) = \int_M cs(A), \tag{1-1}$$

which we refer to as the Chern-Simons functional of A defined by choosing the reference connection A_0.

The equality below reveals the Chern-Weil theory origin of the form $cs(A)$(see §5):

$$\langle F_A \wedge F_A \rangle - \langle F_{A_0} \wedge F_{A_0} \rangle = d(cs(A)). \tag{1-2}$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is an Affine subspace of $\Omega^1(P; \mathcal{G})$ modeled after $\bar{\Omega}^1(P; \mathcal{G})$, the subspace of all horizontal equivariant 1-forms. Then the following identity makes a good sense(see §5):

$$dCS_A(a) = 2 \int_M \langle F_A \wedge a \rangle, \tag{1-3}$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ and any $a \in T_A \mathcal{A}(P) \equiv \bar{\Omega}^1(P; \mathcal{G})$. Thus the critical points of the Chern-Simons functional are the flat connections. Furthermore the RHS of (1-3) does not depend on the reference connection and therefore we know that $CS_1 - CS_0$ is the constant $CS_1(A_0) = -CS_0(A_1)$ if CS_0 and CS_1 are the Chern-Simons functionals given by reference connections A_0 and A_1 respectively.

The Chern-Simons functional given by (1-1) has the advantage that it can be applied to any principal G-bundle P over an oriented closed 3-manifold, even when P is not trivializable. This form of functional has been used for instance in [6], [11] and [12].

Now let $\varphi : P \to P$ be a gauge transformation. Then $CS(\varphi^* A) - CS(A)$ is an invariant of the path component of φ in the group $\mathrm{GA}(P)$ of gauge transformations, which depends neither on A nor on the reference connection, as observed in [6](see 5.2 below). Therefore $CS(\varphi^* A) - CS(A)$ is a well-defined homotopy invariant of φ and one may ask whether this invariant can be described in some other way. Answering this is one of the main results of the note.

The answer depends on the assumptions that the Lie group G is connected and compact and that P is *flat*, that is, P admits a flat connection. Under these assumptions we show that the equality

$$CS(\varphi^* A) - CS(A) = \deg \varphi \tag{1-4}$$

holds for an invariant $\deg \varphi$ which is defined independently of the LHS. Also we will prove that $\deg \varphi$ is integer valued if an appropriate Ad-invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{G}$ is chosen.

We use the assumptions as follows. We first observe that a flat connection A can be used to define a homomorphism between the cohomology rings

$$E_A : H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P),$$

if G is connected and compact(see 6.5 below). Here $Ad\ P = P \times_G G$ denotes the adjoint bundle of Lie groups. Let $p \in P$ and $\iota_p : G \to Ad\ P$ be the map defined by $\iota_p(g) = [p, g]$. Then in fact the homomorphism E_A is such that $\iota_p^* E_A$ is the identity homomorphism on $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$. This means that the Leray-Hirsch theorem(cf. [8]) holds in a stronger sense in that E_A is not only a surjective homomorphism between vector spaces such that $\iota_p^* E_A$ is the identity but also a homomorphism between rings. It follows that we have $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ is isomorphic to $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$ not only as an $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)$-module but also as an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra.

Let $\theta \in \Omega^1(G; \mathcal{G})$ denote the Maurer-Cartan form(see §2). Then we have a closed 3-form

$$\Theta = -\frac{1}{6}\langle \theta \wedge [\theta \wedge \theta] \rangle \in \Omega^3(G; \mathbb{R}),$$

which we call the Maurer-Cartan 3-form(see §3). We will prove that $E_A[\Theta] \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ does not depend on the choice of the flat connection A(see 7.2 below). We will also prove that $E_A[\Theta]$ is an integral class for an appropriate choice of the Ad-invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

So far no restriction on M has been needed. Now assume M is an oriented closed 3-manifold. Let $u : P \to G$ denote the map defined by $\varphi(p) = pu(p)$ for any $p \in P$ and let $\hat{u} : M \to AdP$ be defined by $\hat{u}(x) = [p, u(p)]$ for any $x \in M$ by choosing any $p \in P_x = \pi^{-1}\{x\}$. Then we define $\deg \varphi$ by

$$\deg \varphi = \int_M \hat{u}^* E_A[\Theta], \tag{1-5}$$

choosing any flat connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$(see 8.3 below). Then (1-4) can be established by a straightforward argument(see 8.4 below).

A definition of the degree of a gauge transformation of a nontrivial bundle has been given in case $G = \mathrm{SO}(3)$ in [6], which utilizes the language of algebraic topology and therefore is quite different from our definition given by (1-5) which uses the language of differential category. In fact a closed 3-form whose restriction to each fiber is the Maurer-Cartan 3-form appeared in a work([12]) by K. Wehrheim. She exploited the 3-form to define the degree of a gauge transformation, which we also followed in this note. However she have justified neither the existence nor the uniqueness of such a 3-form. From the view point provided by this paper her argument as a whole is unharmed by this negligence since all the bundles in concern in her work were flat. In fact this paper appears the first to pinpoint flatness as a condition under which a principal bundle may admit such a 3-form. The authors do not know whether it exists for a general principal bundle. They also do not know whether the condition that the base manifold M is of dimension 3 guarantees such a 3-form should exist in $H^3(Ad\ P; \mathbb{R})$ for a general bundle P over M.

We also would like to mention the fact that a method to define a Chern-Simons functional on a general bundle over an oriented closed 3-manifold with compact structure group has been proposed by R. Dijkgraaf and E. Witten([5]) (see also Appendix of [7] by D. S. Freed). The resulting functional is $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$-valued from the beginning. Therefore in this method one simply observes that the functional is invariant under gauge transformations and a need to define their degrees does not surface explicitly. It appears that the condition(s) under which the degree of a gauge transformation can be defined has not yet been fully understood and the current note provides one of them.

2. Connections

It is not easy to pinpoint a single appropriate reference for this section. We believe that our definition of a connection in terms of the Maurer-Cartan 1-form can be easily seen to be equivalent to the more traditional one given in such as in [1]. Our definition of the twisted derivative $d_A\alpha$ of a vector valued form α on P by a connection A is in fact that of [1] or of [2], adopting a slightly different notation. We will also briefly review the Chern-Weil theory.

Let G be a Lie group. A surjective smooth map $\pi : P \to M$ together with a smooth right action $P \times G \to P$ is a principal G-bundle if the following conditions are satisfied:

1) G acts freely and transitively on each fiber $P_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$.

2) Local triviality condition holds. That is, for each $x \in M$, there is an open neighborhood U of x and a diffeomorphism $h : \pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times G$ such that, writing $h(p) = (\pi(p), \varphi(p))$ for any $p \in \pi^{-1}(U)$, we have $\varphi(pg) = \varphi(p)g$, for any $g \in G$.

Before we explain connections, we first introduce the Maurer-Cartan 1-form $\theta \in \Omega^1(G; \mathcal{G})$, where $\mathcal{G} = T_eG$ is the Lie algebra of G. In fact θ is defined by $\theta(X) = dL_{g^{-1}}(X) \in \mathcal{G}$ for any $X \in T_gG,\ g \in G$. Then we have:

$$L_g^*\theta = \theta,\ R_g^*\theta = Ad_{g^{-1}} \circ \theta.$$

The Maurer-Cartan 1-form will be needed also for the next section.

Now choose any $p \in P_x$. Then let $\kappa_p : P_x \to G$ be the map defined by $\kappa_p(pg) = g$ for any $g \in G$. On the other hand let $\iota_x : P_x \to P$ be the inclusion. A connection A is a $\mathcal{G}$-valued 1-form on P which is equivariant, that is,

$$R_g^*A = Ad_{g^{-1}} \circ A$$

for any $g \in G$ and satisfies

$$\kappa_p^*\theta = \iota_p^*A \in \Omega^1(P_x; \mathcal{G}).$$

The curvature $F_A \in \Omega^2(P; \mathcal{G})$ of A is defined as the twisted derivative:

$$F_A = d_AA.$$

Then F_A is equivarient, that is,

$$R_g^*F_A = Ad_{g^{-1}} \circ F_A$$

for any $g \in G$, and horizontal, that is,

$$F_A(X, Y) = 0$$

if any of $X, Y \in T_pP$ is vertical. Also we have the Bianchi identity

$$d_AF_A = 0.$$

Here we explain the twisted exterior derivative $d_A\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \Omega^k(P; V)$ where V is any vector space. The horizontal subbundle H of TP determined by A is the bundle whose fiber H_p at p is given by $H_p = Ker(A_p : T_pP \to \mathcal{G})$ for any $p \in P$. And the vertical subbundle V of TP is defined by $V_p = T_pP_{\pi(p)}$ for any $p \in P$. Then we have the decomposition $TP = H \oplus V$. Let $\pi_H : TP \to H$ be the associated projection. Then the twisted exterior derivative is defined by $d_A\alpha = (d\alpha)^H$ where β^H, for any $\beta \in \Omega^k(P; V)$, is defined by

$$\beta^H(X_1, ..., X_k) = \beta(\pi_HX_1, ..., \pi_HX_k)$$

for any $X_1, ..., X_k \in T_pP,\ p \in P$.

Now we review the Chern-Weil theory briefly(cf. [10]). Let $I^k(\mathcal{G})$ be the set of all symmetric k-linear invariant functions, $f : \mathcal{G} \times ... \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}$. That f is invariant means that $f(Ad_g X_1, ..., Ad_g X_k) = f(X_1, ..., X_k)$ for any $g \in G$ and any $X_1, ..., X_k \in \mathcal{G}$. For $f \in I^k(\mathcal{G})$ and $g \in I^l(\mathcal{G})$ we define

$$(fg)(X_1, ..., X_{(k+l)}) = \frac{1}{k!l!} \sum_{\sigma} f(X_{\sigma(1)}, ..., X_{\sigma(k)}) g(X_{\sigma(k+1)}, ..., X_{\sigma(k+l)})$$

where the summation is taken over all permutation σ of $1, ..., k+l$. Extending this multiplication to $I(\mathcal{G}) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} I^k(\mathcal{G})$, we make $I(\mathcal{G})$ into a commutative associative algebra over $\mathbb{R}$.

For each $f \in I^k(\mathcal{G})$, $f(F_A)$ is the real-valued $2k$-form on P defined by

$$f(F_A)(X_1, ..., X_{2k}) = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_\sigma f(F(X_{\sigma(1)}, X_{\sigma(2)}), ..., F(X_{\sigma(2k-1)}, X_{\sigma(2k)}))$$

for any $X_1, \cdots, X_{2k} \in T_p P$ where ϵ_σ denotes the sign of the permutation σ. We note that $f(F_A)$ is horizontal and invariant therefore can be regarded as form on M. That is, there is a unique $\alpha \in \Omega^{2k}(M; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\pi^* \alpha = f(F_A)$ and we identify $f(F_A)$ with α.

It is a standard result of Chern-Weil theory that $f(F_A) \in \Omega^{2k}(M; \mathbb{R})$ is closed, that is, $df(F_A) = 0$ and that the class of $f(F_A)$ in $H^*_{dR}(M)$ does not depend on A, that is, if A' is another connection, $f(F_A) - f(F_{A'})$ is exact. In particular, let $a \in \Omega^1(P; \mathcal{G})$ be horizontal and equivariant. Then $A + a$ is another connection and we have(cf. p.297, [10])

$$f(F_{A+a}) - f(F_A) = k \; d \left(\int_0^1 f(a, F_{A+ta}, \cdots, F_{A+ta}) dt \right).$$

This implies that there is an algebra homomorphism $I(\mathcal{G}) \to H^{2*}_{dR}(M)$ determined by the principal bundle P.

3. The Maurer-Cartan 3-form of a connected compact Lie group

We begin by the identification of the de Rham cohomology of a compact connected Lie group with the algebra consisting of the *bi-invariant* forms. We will also explain the notational conventions in the expressions such as $\langle (A - A_0) \wedge [(A - A_0) \wedge (A - A_0)] \rangle$ which appeared repeatedly in the introduction and will continue to do so throughout the paper.

Let G be a connected compact Lie group and $\mathcal{H}^*(G)$ denote the set of all *bi-invariant* real valued forms on G. That a form $\alpha \in \Omega^*(G; \mathbb{R})$ is bi-invariant means that it satisfies $L_g^* \alpha = R_g^* \alpha = \alpha$ for any $g \in G$. Bi-invariant forms are closed and we have(Theorem 12.1, [4]):

$$\mathcal{H}^*(G) \equiv H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G). \tag{3-1}$$

Let $q : \tilde{G} \to G$ be a finite cover by a connected group $\tilde{G}$. Since q is in fact a group homomorphism, we may easily prove that, for any bi-invariant $\alpha \in \Omega^*(G; \mathbb{R})$, $q^* \alpha$ is also bi-invariant. It is also straightforward to see that any bi-invariant form of $\tilde{G}$ is the pull-back of a unique bi-invariant form of G. Therefore (3-1) implies the isomorphism

$$H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \equiv H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(\tilde{G}). \tag{3-2}$$

It is well-known that $G \cong \tilde{G}/Z$ where $\tilde{G}$ is the product group

$$T^k \times G_1 \times \cdots \times G_l$$

of the torus group T^k and simply connected simple Lie groups G_i, $i = 1, \cdots, l$ and Z is a finite subgroup of the center of $\tilde{G}$. Therefore considering (3-2) we have:

$$H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \cong H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(T^k) \otimes H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G_l).$$

Recall the Maurer-Cartan form $\theta \in \Omega^1(G; \mathcal{G})$ and the equalities

$$L_g^* \theta = \theta, \ R_g^* \theta = Ad_{g^{-1}} \circ \theta \tag{3-3}$$

for any $g \in G$. We consider the Maurer-Cartan 3-form on G

$$\Theta = -\frac{1}{6} \langle \theta \wedge [\theta \wedge \theta] \rangle \tag{3-4}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathcal{G}$. Here we understand $\theta \wedge \theta$ as a $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$-valued 2-form defined by

$$(\theta \wedge \theta)(X, Y) = \theta(X) \otimes \theta(Y) - \theta(Y) \otimes \theta(X)$$

for any $X, Y \in T_g G$ and any $g \in G$. Furthermore we identify any bilinear function on $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ with a linear map on the vector space $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$. With these conventions being understood, any expression such as the RHS of (3-4) makes a good sense. By exploiting (3-3) it is rather straightforward to see that Θ is a bi-invariant 3-form and in particular that Θ is closed.

Consider the case $G = S^3 \subset \mathbb{H}$. Then $\mathcal{G} = T_1 S^3$ has the standard basis $\{i, j, k\}$. Let us consider $\mathcal{G} \subset T_1 \mathbb{H} = T_{(1,0,0,0)} \mathbb{R}^4$ with the Euclidean metric. Then we have:

$$\Theta(i, j, k) = -\langle i, [j, k] \rangle = -2.$$

Thus Θ is not zero and $[\Theta]$ is a basis for $H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(S^3)$.

Let $\varphi : H \to K$ be a homomorphism between Lie groups. If θ_H and θ_K are the Maurer-Cartan forms, we have:

$$\varphi^* \theta_K = d\varphi_e \circ \theta_H.$$

Furthermore assume $d\varphi_e : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ is an injective homomorphism between the Lie algebras. Assume $\mathcal{H}$ is equipped with the inner product which is the pull-back of an Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathcal{K}$. Then the inner product on $\mathcal{H}$ is also Ad-invariant. Now let Θ_H and Θ_K be the Maurer-Cartan 3-forms of H and K respectively. Then we have:

$$\varphi^* \Theta_K = \Theta_H. \tag{3-5}$$

Let G be a compact simply connected simple Lie group. It is well known that there is a homomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathrm{SU}(2) \to G$$

such that the homomorphism $d\varphi_e : su(2) \to \mathcal{G}$ between the Lie algebras is injective. Note that there is a unique Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathcal{G}$ up to multiplication by a positive real number. It is also a classical fact(see for instance §1, [9]) that

$$H^2(G; \mathbb{R}) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ H^3(G; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}. \tag{3-6}$$

In particular equation (3-5), together with the fact the Maurer-Cartan 3-form is a basis for $H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(S^3)$, implies that the class $[\Theta]$ of the Maurer-Cartan 3-form is a basis for $H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$.

Now assume $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_l$ where G_k, $k = 1, \cdots, l$, are simply connected simple Lie groups. Then we have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{G}_l$$

for the Lie algebras. An Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathcal{G}$ makes this decomposition orthogonal and therefore it is determined by its restrictions to $\mathcal{G}_k$'s. Thus without loss of generality we may assume each $\mathcal{G}_k$ is equipped with an Ad-invariant inner product and $\mathcal{G}$ is given the direct sum inner product. Use these inner products to define the Maurer-Cartan 3-forms Θ and Θ_k's respectively of G and G_k's. Then we have

$$\Theta = q_1^*\Theta_1 + \cdots + q_l^*\Theta_l \tag{3-7}$$

where $q_k : G \to G_k$, $k = 1, \cdots, l$, are the projections. On the other hand since $H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(G_k) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ we have that

$$H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \equiv H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G_l).$$

Note that there is a unique Ad-invariant inner product on each $\mathcal{G}_k$ up to multiplication by a positive real number. Therefore (3-7) implies that the set of all $[\Theta]$, each of which is determined by a choice of an Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathcal{G}$, is an open cone in $H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$.

Slightly more generally let G be a compact connected semi-simple Lie group. Then $G \cong \tilde{G}/Z$ where $\tilde{G} = G_1 \times \cdots G_l$ for some simply connected simple Lie groups G_k, $k = 1, \cdots, l$, and Z is a subgroup of the center of $\tilde{G}$. By applying (3-2) the set of all classes $[\Theta]$ of Maurer-Cartan 3-forms is still an open cone in $H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$. Since the image of the homomorphism $H^3(G;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^3(G;\mathbb{R}) \equiv H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$ is a full lattice, we conclude that we may choose an Ad-invariant metric on $\mathcal{G}$ so that $[\Theta] \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$ is integral.

Now let G be any compact connected Lie group. Then $G' = G/Z_0$ is semi-simple(or trivial), where Z_0 is the identity component of the center of G. We have a natural decomposition of the Lie algebra, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Z} \oplus \mathcal{G}'$, where $\mathcal{Z}$ is the center of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}' = [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$. Let $q : G \to G'$ be the quotient homomorphism. Any Ad-invariant inner product on the Lie algebra of G' is given, we may equip $\mathcal{G}$ with an Ad-invariant metric so that the restriction of dq_e to $\mathcal{G}'$ is an isometry. Now let Θ and Θ' be the Maurer-Cartan 3-forms of G and G' respectively. Then we have:

$$\Theta = q^*\Theta'. \tag{3-8}$$

To see this equality, note that $q^*\Theta'$ is bi-invariant. Therefore it is enough to see that the equality holds when evaluated at a triple $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathcal{G}^3$. Thus it is sufficient to see that $\langle X, [Y, Z]\rangle = \langle dq_e X, [dq_e Y, dq_e Z]\rangle$ holds for any $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathcal{G}^3$, which is straightforward.

In particular we have proved the following fact:

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Then there is an Ad-invariant inner product on the Lie algebra of G so that the cohomology class of the Maurer-Cartan 3-form is integral.*

4. The Chern-Simons functional defined by a section

In case of trivializable bundle the Chern-Simons functional is usually given by choosing a section. Here we observe that the Chern-Simons functional defined by choosing a reference connection is indeed a generalization of this special case.

Furthermore we prove (1-2), (1-3) and (1-4) for this case, which is somewhat easier and can be regarded as a guidance to the full proofs for the more general case.

It is well known that all Chern-Weil forms are exact as forms on the principal bundle([3]). Let us keep the notations of the introduction. Then in particular we have:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be an Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathcal{G}$. Then we have that*

$$d(\langle A \wedge F_A \rangle - \frac{1}{6}\langle A \wedge [A \wedge A] \rangle) = \langle F_A \wedge F_A \rangle.$$

Proof. Apply the identities

$$dA = F_A - \frac{1}{2}[A \wedge A],\ dF_A = -[A \wedge F_A]$$

to conclude

$$d\langle A \wedge F_A \rangle = \langle F_A \wedge F_A \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle F_A \wedge [A \wedge A] \rangle.$$

In fact in the above we also used the identity

$$\langle \alpha \wedge [\beta \wedge \gamma] \rangle = (-1)^{r(p+q)} \langle \gamma \wedge [\alpha \wedge \beta] \rangle$$

if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega^*(P; \mathcal{G})$ are homogeneous forms of degree p, q, r respectively, which is a consequence of Ad-invariance of the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

We also have

$$d\langle A \wedge [A \wedge A] \rangle = 3\langle dA \wedge [A \wedge A] \rangle.$$

Use the identity $dA = F_A - \frac{1}{2}[A \wedge A]$ again and observe

$$\langle [A \wedge A] \wedge [A \wedge A] \rangle = \langle A \wedge [A \wedge [A \wedge A]] \rangle = 0.$$

In fact the equality $[A \wedge [A \wedge A]] = 0$ holds, which is a consequence of the Jacobi identity. Therefore we conclude that

$$d\langle A \wedge [A \wedge A] \rangle = 3\langle F_A \wedge [A \wedge A] \rangle,$$

which immediately proves the lemma. □

Now we assume that the dimension of M is 3 and M is oriented and closed. And we assume furthermore that the principal bundle $\pi : P \to M$ is trivializable and $s : M \to P$ is a section. Write $\alpha(A) = \langle A \wedge F_A \rangle - \frac{1}{6}\langle A \wedge [A \wedge A] \rangle$. Then we define a Chern-Simons functional $CS_s : \mathcal{A}(P) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$CS_s(A) = \int_M s^* \alpha(A)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$. Note that $\alpha(A)$ need not be horizontal even if it is invariant. Therefore pulling it back by $s : M \to P$ is a necessity.

On the other hand note that the section s defines a unique flat connection $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ such that $s(M)$ is an integral submanifold of the horizontal distribution given by A_0. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$. Then we consider the 3-form:

$$cs(A) = \langle F_A \wedge (A - A_0) \rangle - \frac{1}{6}\langle (A - A_0) \wedge [(A - A_0) \wedge (A - A_0)] \rangle.$$

Then we have that

$$s^* \alpha(A) = cs(A),$$

where we regard $cs(A)$ as a form on M. Thus the functional given by a global section is a special case of the functional determined by choosing a reference connection.

Now we observe the following:

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$. Then we have that*

$$dCS_{s\,A}(a) = 2\int_M \langle F_A \wedge a\rangle$$

for any $a \in \bar{\Omega}^1(P;\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. Note that $F_{A+ta} = F_A + td_A a + \frac{1}{2}t^2[a\wedge a]$. Therefore we have:

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \alpha(A+ta) = \langle a \wedge F_A\rangle + \langle A \wedge d_A a\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle a \wedge [A\wedge A]\rangle.$$

Since $d_A a = da + [A\wedge a]$ and $F_A = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A\wedge A]$, we may rewrite this as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \alpha(A+ta) &= \langle a \wedge F_A\rangle + \langle da \wedge A\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle a \wedge [A\wedge A]\rangle \\ &= 2\langle a \wedge F_A\rangle + d\langle a \wedge A\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\langle a \wedge F_A\rangle$ is horizontal and invariant. Therefore we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} CS_s(A+ta) &= 2\int_M s^*\langle a \wedge F_A\rangle \\ &= 2\int_M \langle a \wedge F_A\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

The RHS of the equation in the lemma above does not depend on the section s. Therefore, if $s' : M \to P$ is another section, $CS_{s'} - CS_s : \mathcal{A}(P) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. Let $\varphi : P \to P$ be a gauge transformation. Then the equality, $CS_s(\varphi^* A) = CS_{\varphi\circ s}(A)$, holds. Therefore $CS_s(\varphi^* A) - CS_s(A)$ must be constant of A.

The following leads to a concrete value of the constant $CS_s(\varphi^* A) - CS_s(A)$ and in fact implies that it depends only on the path component of φ in the gauge group $\mathrm{GA}(P)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $u : P \to G$ be the map defined by the equation, $\varphi(p) = pu(p)$, for any $p \in P$ and let $\theta \in \Omega^1(G;\mathcal{G})$ and $\Theta \in \Omega^3(G;\mathbb{R})$ denote respectively the Maurer-Cartan form and 3-form. Then we have:*

$$\alpha(\varphi^* A) - \alpha(A) = u^*\Theta + d\langle Ad_{u^{-1}} A \wedge u^*\theta\rangle.$$

Proof. Note that $\varphi^* A = Ad_{u^{-1}} A + u^*\theta$ and $F_{\varphi^* A} = Ad_{u^{-1}} F_A$. Therefore we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha(\varphi^* A) - \alpha(A) + \frac{1}{6}\langle u^*\theta \wedge [u^*\theta \wedge u^*\theta]\rangle \\ =&\langle u^*\theta \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}} F_A\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle Ad_{u^{-1}} A \wedge [u^*\theta \wedge u^*\theta]\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle u^*\theta \wedge [Ad_{u^{-1}} A \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}} A]\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand let us begin with applying the Leibniz rule:

$$d\langle Ad_{u^{-1}} A \wedge u^*\theta\rangle = \langle d(Ad_{u^{-1}} A) \wedge u^*\theta\rangle - \langle Ad_{u^{-1}} A \wedge du^*\theta\rangle.$$

Firstly note that $du^*\theta = u^* d\theta = -\frac{1}{2}u^*[\theta\wedge\theta] = -\frac{1}{2}[u^*\theta \wedge u^*\theta]$.

Secondly we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Ad_{u^{-1}}A) &= (dAd_{u^{-1}}) \wedge A + Ad_{u^{-1}}dA \\ &= (Ad_{u^{-1}}ad(u^{-1^*}\theta)) \wedge A + Ad_{u^{-1}}(F_A - \frac{1}{2}[A \wedge A]) \\ &= Ad_{u^{-1}}[u^{-1^*}\theta \wedge A] + Ad_{u^{-1}}F_A - \frac{1}{2}[Ad_{u^{-1}}A \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}}A]. \end{aligned}$$

Here $dAd_{u^{-1}}$ should be understood as the derivative of a vector valued function, $Ad_{u^{-1}} : P \to End(\mathcal{G})$. Also note the equality $u^{-1^*}\theta = -Ad_u u^*\theta$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle d(Ad_{u^{-1}}A) \wedge u^*\theta\rangle \\ =& -\langle (Ad_{u^{-1}}[Ad_u u^*\theta \wedge A]) \wedge u^*\theta\rangle + \langle Ad_{u^{-1}}F_A \wedge u^*\theta\rangle \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{2}\langle [Ad_{u^{-1}}A \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}}A] \wedge u^*\theta\rangle \\ =& -\langle Ad_{u^{-1}}A \wedge [u^*\theta \wedge u^*\theta]\rangle + \langle Ad_{u^{-1}}F_A \wedge u^*\theta\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle u^*\theta \wedge [Ad_{u^{-1}}A \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}}A]\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the equality:

$$\begin{aligned} &d\langle Ad_{u^{-1}}A \wedge u^*\theta\rangle \\ =&\langle u^*\theta \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}}F_A\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle Ad_{u^{-1}}A \wedge [u^*\theta \wedge u^*\theta]\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle u^*\theta \wedge [Ad_{u^{-1}}A \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}}A]\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Let $s : M \to P$ be a section and let $\varphi : P \to P$ and $u : P \to G$ be as in the lemma above. We define $\deg \varphi$ by

$$\deg \varphi = \int_M (u \circ s)^*\Theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By 3.1 above, if G is connected and compact, we may assume the Ad-invariant metric on $\mathcal{G}$ is such that $[\Theta] \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$ is integral. Then we have that

$$\deg \varphi = \langle (u \circ s)^*[\Theta], [M]\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We need to observe:

Lemma 4.4. *If G is connected and compact, the invariant $\deg \varphi$ does not depend on the section $s : M \to P$.*

Proof. Let $s' : M \to P$ be another section. Then there is a smooth map $w : M \to G$ such that $s'(x) = s(x)w(x)$ for any $x \in M$. And we have $(u \circ s')(x) = u(s'(x)) = u(s(x)w(x)) = w(x)^{-1}u(s(x))w(x)$ for any $x \in M$. Now from the claim below we have $(u \circ s')^*[\Theta] = (u \circ s)^*[\Theta]$ and the assertion of the lemma follows.

Claim. Let X be a smooth manifold and let $f, g : X \to G$ be smooth maps and $h : X \to G$ be defined by $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Then we have $h^*[\Theta] = f^*[\Theta] + g^*[\Theta] \in H^3_{dR}(X)$.

Proof. Note that h is the composite

$$G \overset{\Delta}{\to} G \times G \overset{f\times g}{\longrightarrow} G \times G \overset{\mu}{\to} G,$$

where Δ is the diagonal and μ is the multiplication.

Firstly assume that G is semisimple. Then we have that $H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G\times G) \equiv H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \otimes \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \otimes H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$ since $H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) = 0$ if $i = 1, 2$, and $H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) = \mathbb{R}$. It follows that $\mu^* a = a \times 1 + 1 \times a$ for any $a \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$. Therefore we have that $h^*a = f^*a + g^*a$ for any $a \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$. For a general compact connected group G, consider the

projection $q : G \to G'$ where $G' = G/Z_0$ is the quotient by the identity component of the center. Then $qh = (qf)(qg)$ and we have $(qh)^*[\Theta'] = (qf)^*[\Theta'] + (qg)^*[\Theta']$. Now by (3-8) we have the equality. □

Thus $\deg \varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ is well-defined if $P \to M$ is trivializable and the structure group G is connected and compact. By applying 4.3 above we conclude the well-known fact:

Theorem 4.5. *Let $P \to M$ be a trivializable bundle with a connected compact structure group over an oriented closed 3-manifold M. Then for any section $s : M \to P$, any $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ and any gauge transformation $\varphi : P \to P$, we have:*

$$CS_s(\varphi^* A) - CS_s(A) = \deg \varphi.$$

5. The Chern-Simons functional defined by a reference connection

This section begins with justifications of (1-2) and (1-3). Then we provide a detailed review of the properties of the 1-form dCS on $\mathcal{A}(P)$ which leads to an understanding of the general behavior of the Chern-Simons functional under gauge transformations.

Let $\pi : P \to M$ be a principal G-bundle over a manifold M. Let $A_0, A_1 \in \mathcal{A}(P)$. Then from the Chern-Weil theory(see §2 above) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F_{A_1} \wedge F_{A_1} \rangle - \langle F_{A_0} \wedge F_{A_0} \rangle \\ = d(2 \int_0^1 \langle (A_1 - A_0) \wedge F_{A_0 + t(A_1 - A_0)} \rangle dt).\end{aligned}$$

We fix an $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ and define for any $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ the 3-form

$$cs(A) = 2 \int_0^1 \langle (A - A_0) \wedge F_{A_0 + t(A - A_0)} \rangle dt.$$

Recall that for any $a \in \bar{\Omega}^1(P; \mathcal{G})$ we have: $F_{A+a} = F_A + d_A a + \frac{1}{2}[a \wedge a]$. Then we have other expressions for $cs(A)$ as well:

$$\begin{aligned}cs(A) &= 2\langle (A - A_0) \wedge F_{A_0} \rangle + \langle (A - A_0) \wedge d_{A_0}(A - A_0) \rangle \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{3}\langle (A - A_0) \wedge [(A - A_0) \wedge (A - A_0)] \rangle \\ &= \langle (A - A_0) \wedge (F_A + F_{A_0}) \rangle - \frac{1}{6}\langle (A - A_0) \wedge [(A - A_0) \wedge (A - A_0)] \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This shows (1-2) holds.

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} cs(A + ta) = \langle a \wedge (F_A + F_{A_0}) \rangle + \langle (A - A_0) \wedge d_A a \rangle \\ - \frac{1}{2}\langle a \wedge [(A - A_0) \wedge (A - A_0)] \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Using the identities $d_A a = d_{A_0} a + [(A - A_0) \wedge a]$ and $F_A = F_{A_0} + d_{A_0}(A - A_0) + \frac{1}{2}[(A - A_0) \wedge (A - A_0)]$ subsequently, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} cs(A + ta) &= \langle a \wedge F_A \rangle + \langle a \wedge F_{A_0} \rangle + \langle (A - A_0)) \wedge d_{A_0} a \rangle \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\langle a \wedge [(A - A_0) \wedge (A - A_0)] \rangle \\ &= 2\langle a \wedge F_A \rangle + \langle d_{A_0} a \wedge (A - A_0) \rangle - \langle a \wedge d_{A_0}(A - A_0) \rangle \\ &= 2\langle a \wedge F_A \rangle + d\langle a \wedge (A - A_0) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This immediately proves the equality, $dCS_A(a) = 2\int_M \langle F_A \wedge a \rangle$, which is (1-3).

Note that the group $\mathrm{GA}(P)$ of all gauge transformations of P acts on $\mathcal{A}(P)$. The following has been asserted in [6], where a justification has not been provided. We add a detailed proof.

Lemma 5.1. *The 1-form dCS on $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is invariant and horizontal. That is, we have:*

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} CS(\varphi^*(A + ta)) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} CS(A + ta) \quad \text{and} \quad \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} CS(\varphi_t^* A) = 0,$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, any $a \in \bar{\Omega}^1(P; \mathcal{G})$, any gauge transformation φ and any smooth 1-parameter family φ_t, $-\epsilon < t < \epsilon$, of gauge transformations such that $\varphi_0 = 1$.

Proof. Let $u : P \to G$ be the map determined by φ. Since a is equivariant, we have $\varphi^* a = Ad_{u^{-1}} a$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} CS(\varphi^*(A + ta)) &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} CS(\varphi^* A + t\varphi^* a) \\ &= 2\int_M \langle F_{\varphi^* A} \wedge \varphi^* a \rangle \\ &= 2\int_M \langle (Ad_{u^{-1}} F_A) \wedge (Ad_{u^{-1}} a) \rangle \\ &= 2\int_M \langle F_A \wedge a \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the first equality.

Let u_t denote the map $P \to G$ determined by φ_t for each t. We have that $\varphi_t^* A = A + u_t^{-1} d_A u_t$, where $u^{-1} d_A u$ means $(u^{-1} du)^H$ if $u : P \to G$ is any map and H is the horizontal distribution determined by A. Let $X : P \to \mathcal{G}$ denote the map defined by $X(p) = (u_t(p))_{;t=0}$. We observe:

Claim: $\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} u_t^{-1} du_t = dX$.

Proof. Let $Y_p \in T_p P$ and let $\gamma : (-\delta, \delta) \to P$ be a curve such that $\dot{\gamma}(0) = Y_p$. Then define $f : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times (-\delta, \delta) \to G$ by $f(t, s) = u_t(p)^{-1} u_t(\gamma(s))$. We may write:

$$(\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} u_t^{-1} du_t)(Y_p) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} df(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{(t,0)}) \in T_e G = \mathcal{G}.$$

In general, let $g : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times (-\delta, \delta) \to N$ be any C^2-map into a smooth manifold such that there is an $x \in N$ for which $g(t, 0) = x$, $g(0, s) = x$ holds for any t, s. Then we have:

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} dg(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{(t,0)}) = \left.\frac{d}{ds}\right|_{s=0} dg(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{(0,s)}) \in T_x N.$$

Therefore the claim is proved by the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} df\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{(t,0)}\right) &= \left.\frac{d}{ds}\right|_{s=0} df\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{(0,s)}\right) \\ &= \left.\frac{d}{ds}\right|_{s=0} (-X(p) + X(\gamma(s))) = dX(Y_p).\end{aligned}$$

Now note that $d_A F_A = 0$. Then the following proves the second equality:

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} CS(\varphi_t^* A) &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} CS(A + u_t^{-1} d_A u_t) \\ &= 2\int_M \langle F_A \wedge d_A X\rangle = 2\int_M d\langle F_A \otimes X\rangle = 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

The first equality of the lemma above implies that $CS(\varphi^* A) - CS(A)$ does not depend on A. The second means in fact that $\frac{d}{dt}(CS(\varphi_t^* A)) = 0$ for any smooth 1-parameter family of gauge transformations φ_t and therefore it follows that $CS(\varphi^* A) - CS(A)$ is constant if φ varies within a path component of $\mathrm{GA}(P)$. Since the choice of the reference connection A_0 affects the Chern-Simons functional only by addition of a constant, $CS(\varphi^* A) - CS(A)$ does not depend on the reference connection either. Therefore we have:

Proposition 5.2. *The real number, $CS(\varphi^* A) - CS(A)$, depends only on the path component of φ in $\mathrm{GA}(P)$. In particular it depends neither on A nor on the reference connection.*

6. Cohomology of flat bundles

In this section we describe the cohomology rings of a flat bundle and its adjoint bundle of Lie groups using the cohomology rings of the base manifold and the structure group G under the assumption that G is connected and compact.

Let $\pi : P \to M$ be a principal bundle whose structure group G is connected and compact . Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ and H be the horizontal distribution of P determined by A. Let V denote the vertical distribution of P. Then we let

$$\pi_A : TP \to V$$

denote the projection given by the decomposition $TP = V \oplus H$.

For any $p \in P$ we have a map $\kappa_p : P_x \to G$, $x = \pi(p)$, defined by $\kappa_p(pg) = g$ for any $g \in G$. Let $h \in G$. Then we have $\kappa_{ph} = L_{h^{-1}} \kappa_p$, which follows from the identities: $\kappa_{ph}(pg) = \kappa_{ph}(ph(h^{-1}g)) = h^{-1}g = L_{h^{-1}}\kappa_p(pg)$. Recall the set $\mathcal{H}^*(G)$ of all bi-invariant real valued forms. Then the equality, $\kappa_{ph} = L_{h^{-1}}\kappa_p$ implies that, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*(G)$, the pull-back $\kappa_p^* \alpha \in \Omega^*(P_x; \mathbb{R})$ does not depend on $p \in P_x$.

Now we define $\hat{\alpha} \in \Omega^k(P; \mathbb{R})$, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^k(G)$, by the rule:

$$\hat{\alpha}(X_1, \cdots X_k) = (\kappa_p^* \alpha)(\pi_A X_1, \cdots, \pi_A X_k), \tag{6-1}$$

for any tangent vectors $X_1, \cdots, X_k \in T_{p'}P$, $p' \in P$, choosing any $p \in P_{\pi(p')}$. We write

$$E_A : \mathcal{H}^*(G) \to \Omega^*(P; \mathbb{R})$$

for the map defined by $E_A(\alpha) = \hat{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*(G)$.

Now we observe:

Lemma 6.1. *If A is flat, $E_A(\alpha) \in \Omega^*(P;\mathbb{R})$ is closed for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*(G)$.*

Proof. Let $U \subset M$ be an open set for which there is a section $s : U \to P$ horizontal with respect to A.

Define $\kappa_s : P_U = \pi^{-1}U \to G$ by $p = s(\pi(p))\kappa_s(p)$ for any $p \in P_U$. Then we have

$$\hat{\alpha}|_{P_U} = \kappa_s^* \alpha.$$

In fact this equality follows from the identity

$$d\kappa_{s(x)}\pi_A = d\kappa_s : T_pP \to T_{\kappa_s(p)}G,$$

for any $p \in P$ where $x = \pi(p)$. This is easily seen if one notes any $Y \in T_pP$ is the velocity at $t = 0$ of a curve $s(\delta(t))\gamma(t)$ on P where δ and γ are some curves respectively on M and on G such that $\delta(0) = x$ and $\gamma(0) = \kappa_{s(x)}(p)$. Then both $d\kappa_{s(x)}\pi_A(Y)$ and $d\kappa_s(Y)$ are $\dot{\gamma}(0)$.

Therefore we conclude $d\hat{\alpha} = 0$ on P_U. Since A is flat, M is covered by open sets on which horizontal sections exist. This proves that $\hat{\alpha} = E_A(\alpha)$ is closed on P. □

Thus assuming A is flat we have a map $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(P)$ induced by E_A since $\mathcal{H}^*(G) \equiv H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$, which we still denote by E_A. It is clear that $E_A : H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(P)$ is a homomorphism between algebras.

Consider the inclusion $\iota_p : G \xrightarrow{\kappa_p^{-1}} P_{\pi(p)} \subset P$ for any $p \in P$. In fact $\iota_p : G \to P$ is given by $\iota_p(g) = pg$, $g \in G$. Then we have that $\iota_p^*\hat{\alpha} = \alpha$ for any $p \in P$. Therefore we have proved the following:

Theorem 6.2. *Let $P \to M$ be a flat bundle over a smooth manifold with a compact connected structure group G. Then for any flat connection A on P there is a homomorphism between algebras,*

$$E_A : H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(P),$$

such that, for any $p \in P$, $\iota_p^* E_A$ is the identity on $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$.

The above means a flat bundle with a compact connected structure group G is a fiber bundle to which the Leray-Hirsch theorem(cf. [8]) applies: We have an isomorphism of $H^*(M)$-modules

$$H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(P) \cong H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G),$$

where the isomorphism $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(P)$ is given by $a \otimes b \to (\pi^* a) \wedge (E_A(b))$. Moreover we consider $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$ with the ring structure given by

$$(a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2) = (-1)^{kl}(a_1 \wedge a_2) \otimes (b_1 \wedge b_2),$$

if $a_1, a_2 \in H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)$ and $b_1, b_2 \in H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$ and in particular b_1 and a_2 are homogeneous classes of degrees k and l respectively. Then since E_A is a homomorphism of algebras, we have:

Corollary 6.3. *Let $P \to M$ and G be as in 6.2 above. Then there is an isomorphism of algebras:*

$$H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(P) \cong H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G).$$

We may proceed with the adjoint bundle $Ad\ P$ of Lie groups in a similar way as can be seen in the below.

Let A be any connection on P and H be the horizontal distribution determined by A. Let $q : P \times G \to Ad\ P = (P \times G)/G$ be the projection. Then we define a distribution $\bar{H}$ on $Ad\ P$ as follows:

$$\bar{H}_{[p,g]} = dq(H_p \oplus 0_q)$$

for any $[p, g] \in Ad\ P$. Invariance of H with respect to the action of G implies that $\bar{H}$ is well-defined.

Also let $\bar{V}$ denote the 'vertical' distribution defined by

$$\bar{V}_{[p,g]} = T_{[p,g]}(Ad\ P)_{\pi(p)} \subset T_{[p,g]}Ad\ P$$

for any $[p, g] \in Ad\ P$. Then we have the decomposition of the tangent bundle of $Ad\ P$,

$$TAd\ P = \bar{V} \oplus \bar{H}.$$

Therefore there is the projection $TAd\ P \to \bar{V}$ coming from this decomposition.

Any $p \in P$ is given, we let κ_p now denote the homomorphism $(Ad\ P)_{\pi(p)} \to G$ given by $\kappa_p[p, g] = g$ for any $g \in G$. Then if $h \in G$ we have $\kappa_{ph} = Ad_{h^{-1}}\kappa_p$. Therefore, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*(G)$, $\kappa_p^*\alpha \in \Omega^*((Ad\ P)_x; \mathbb{R})$ does not depend on the choice of $p \in P_x$, $x \in M$. Now we may define, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^k(\alpha)$, $\hat{\alpha} \in \Omega^k(Ad\ P; \mathbb{R})$ by the same formula as (6-1). We again write

$$E_A : \mathcal{H}^*(G) \to \Omega^*(Ad\ P; \mathbb{R})$$

for the map defined by $E_A(\alpha) = \hat{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*(G)$.

Again we observe:

Lemma 6.4. *If A is flat, $E_A(\alpha) \in \Omega^*(Ad\ P; \mathbb{R})$ is closed for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*(G)$.*

Proof. Assume $U \subset M$ is an open set for which a horizontal section $s : U \to P_U$ exists. Then we consider the map $\kappa_s : (Ad\ P)_U \to G$ defined by $\kappa_s[s(x), g] = g$ for any $x \in U$ and $g \in G$. The rest of the proof is a copy of the proof of 6.1 above. □

For any $p \in P_x$ we also have the inclusion $\iota_p : G \to (Ad\ P)_x \subset Ad\ P$ given by $\iota_p(g) = [p, g]$ for any $g \in G$, for which we have $\iota_p^*\hat{\alpha} = \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*(G)$.

We have:

Theorem 6.5. *Let $P \to M$, G and A be as in 6.2 above. Then there is the homomorphism of algebras,*

$$E_A : H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P),$$

such that, for any $p \in P$, $\iota_p^* E_A$ is the identity on $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$.

Again we have:

Corollary 6.6. *Let $P \to M$ and G be as in 6.2 above. Then there is an isomorphism of algebras:*

$$H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P) \cong H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G).$$

7. The Maurer-Cartan 3-forms on the adjoint bundle

In this section we show that $E_A[\Theta] \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ does not depend on the flat connection A, where E_A is the homomorphism introduced in the previous section and $\Theta \in \Omega^3(G; \mathbb{R})$ is the Maurer-Cartan 3-form defined by a choice of an inner product on $\mathcal{G}$. Furthermore we will show that the inner product can be chosen so that $E_A[\Theta]$ is integral.

We begin by observing:

Lemma 7.1. *Let G be a compact connected semi-simple Lie group and $P \to M$ be a flat G-bundle over a smooth manifold. Then the homomorphism $E_A : H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ does not depend on the choice of the flat connection A.*

Proof. Recall that the Leray-Hirsch theorem applies to a flat bundle. Therefore we have:

$$H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \cong H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$$

where the isomorphism is given by $a \otimes b \to (\pi^* a) \wedge (E_A(b))$ for any $a \in H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)$ and any $b \in H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$. Here π denotes the projection $Ad\ P \to M$. In particular, since $H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) = 0$, for $i = 1, 2$,(see (3-6) above) and $H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \cong \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P) = \pi^* H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \oplus E_A(H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)).$$

Now consider the section $s_1 : M \to Ad\ P$ which sends each $x \in M$ to the identity element of $(Ad\ P)_x$. Note that $s_1^* \pi^*$ is the identity on $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)$ and $s_1^* E_A : H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)$ is the zero homomorphism. This shows that

$$Ker(H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P) \xrightarrow{s_1^*} H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)) = E_A(H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)).$$

In particular, this means that $E_A(H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)) \subset H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ is independent of the flat connection A.

Now choose a $p \in P$ and observe that $\iota_p^* : E_A(H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)) \to H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$ is the inverse of $E_A : H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to E_A(H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G))$ for any A. This proves that $E_A : H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ does not depend on A. □

It follows immediately that:

Proposition 7.2. *Let G and P be as in 7.1 above and let Θ denote the Maurer-Cartan 3-form of G defined by choosing an Ad-invariant inner product on the Lie algebra of G. Then the class $E_A[\Theta] \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ does not depend on the flat connection A.*

When G is compact connected and semi-simple, now we may write $E : H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ to denote the map, $E_A : H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G) \to H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$, defined by choosing a flat connection A. We have:

Proposition 7.3. *Let G and P be as in 7.1 above. Then there is a choice of Ad-invariant inner product on the Lie algebra of G so that, if Θ is the Maurer-Cartan 3-form of G, $E[\Theta] \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ is integral.*

Proof. Consider the maps $\pi : Ad\ P \to M$ and $s_1 : M \to Ad\ P$ introduced in the proof of 6.1 above and the decomposition:

$$H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P) = Im\ \pi^* \oplus Ker\ s_1^*.$$

We observed that $E(H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)) = Ker\ s_1^*$.

The decomposition above is valid also for the cohomology with integer coefficient:

$$H^3(Ad\ P; \mathbb{Z}) = Im\ \pi^* \oplus Ker\ s_1^*.$$

The homomorphism $j : H^3(Ad\ P; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^3(Ad\ P; \mathbb{R}) \equiv H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ also decomposes accordingly. Therefore we conclude the image of

$$Ker\ (H^3(Ad\ P; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{s_1^*} H^3(M; \mathbb{Z})) \xrightarrow{j} E(H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G))$$

is a full lattice in $E(H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G))$.

We have observed in §3 above that the set K of all $[\Theta] \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$ determined by choices of Ad-invariant inner products on the Lie algebra of G is an open cone in $H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G)$. Therefore $E(K)$ is also an open cone in $E(H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(G))$. We conclude

$$j(Ker\ s_1^*) \cap E(K) \neq \emptyset,$$

which proves the proposition. □

Now let G be any connected compact Lie group and $P \to M$ be any principal G-bundle. Let Z_0 denote the identity component of the center of G. Then $G' = G/Z_0$ is a semi-simple Lie group and $P' = P/Z_0$ is a principal G'-bundle. Write $q : G \to G'$ and $\hat{q} : P \to P'$ to denote the projections.

Let A be a connection on P and H be the horizontal distribution of P determined by A. Then H determines a horizontal distribution H' on P': Define $H'_{[p]} = d\hat{q}H_p$ for any $[p] = \hat{q}(p) \in P'$. Let A' denote the connection on P' corresponding to H'.

Consider the map $\bar{q} : Ad\ P \to Ad\ P'$ defined by

$$\bar{q}[p, g] = [[p], [g]]$$

for any $(p, g) \in P \times G$. Let $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{H}'$ denote the 'horizontal' distributions respectively of $Ad\ P$ and $Ad\ P'$ determined respectively by A and A'. Then we have that

$$d\bar{q}(\bar{H}_{[p,g]}) = \bar{H}'_{[[p],[g]]}$$

for any $(p, g) \in P \times G$.

Let $\alpha \in \Omega^*(G'; \mathbb{R})$ be a bi-invariant form. Then $q^*\alpha \in \Omega^*(G; \mathbb{R})$ is also bi-invariant. Then we have the forms $E_{A'}(\alpha)$ and $E_A(q^*\alpha)$ respectively on $Ad\ P'$ and on $Ad\ P$. Then we have that

$$E_A(q^*\alpha) = \bar{q}^* E_{A'}(\alpha). \tag{7-1}$$

In fact this equation follows from the equality,

$$dqd\kappa_p\pi_A = d\kappa_{[p]}\pi_{A'}d\bar{q} : T_{[p,g]}Ad\ P \to T_{[g]}G', \tag{7-2}$$

for any $(p, g) \in P \times G$. The notations $\kappa_p, \kappa_{[p]}$ and $\pi_A, \pi_{A'}$ are as introduced in the previous section. To see (7-2), given any $Y \in T_{[p,g]}Ad\ P$, one may choose a curve $[\gamma(t), \delta(t)]$ on $Ad\ P$ such that the velocity at $t = 0$ is Y, where γ is a horizontal curve in P such that $\gamma(0) = p$ and δ is a curve in G such that $\delta(0) = g$. Then it is straightforward to see that both $dqd\kappa_p\pi_A(Y)$ and $d\kappa_{[p]}\pi_{A'}d\bar{q}(Y)$ are $(q\delta)^{\cdot}(0)$.

Now we are ready to provide a proof of the following:

Theorem 7.4. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and $P \to M$ be a flat G-bundle over a manifold M. Let Θ denote the Maurer-Cartan 3-form of G for some Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathcal{G}$. Then $E_A[\Theta] \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ does not depend on the flat connection A. Furthermore, there is a choice of an Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathcal{G}$ so that $E_A[\Theta]$ is integral.*

Proof. Let G', $q : G \to G'$, P', $\bar{q} : Ad\ P \to Ad\ P'$, etc., mean the same as in the above.

Flatness of A implies the same for the induced connection A' on P': If $s : U \to P$ is a horizontal lifting of an open set $U \subset M$ with respect to A, $q's : U \to P'$ is also such with respect A'.

Consider the decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Z} \oplus \mathcal{G}'$ where $\mathcal{Z}$ is the center of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}' = [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$. Note that $\mathcal{G}'$ can be identified with the Lie algebra of G'. Consider $\mathcal{G}'$ with the

restriction of the inner product of $\mathcal{G}$. Then let Θ' denote the Maurer-Cartan 3-form of G' determined by this inner product on $\mathcal{G}'$. Then we have

$$q^*\Theta' = \Theta,$$

which is (3-8) above.

Apply (7-1) above to have

$$E_A[\Theta] = \bar{q}^* E_{A'}[\Theta']. \qquad (*)$$

Since $E_{A'}[\Theta']$ does not depend on A' by Proposition 7.2 above, we conclude that $E_A[\Theta]$ does not depend on A.

Now note that any Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathcal{G}'$ can be extended to one on $\mathcal{G}$. Since there is an Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathcal{G}'$ so that $E_{A'}[\Theta']$ is integral by Proposition 7.3 above, equation $(*)$ above proves also the second assertion of the theorem. □

8. The Chern-Simons functional under gauge transformations

In this section we define $\deg \varphi$ exploiting the cohomology of $Ad\ P$ so that (1-4) holds. Our argument is based on the two technical lemmas below.

Let $P \to M$ be a flat bundle over a manifold with a structure group G. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ and let $cs(A)$ be the 3-form defined by choosing a flat reference connection A_0. Let $\varphi : P \to P$ be a gauge transformation and $u : P \to G$ be the map determined by φ. Let θ and Θ respectively denote the Maurer-Cartan form and 3-form of G and let H_0 denote the horizontal distribution given by A_0.

Lemma 8.1. *We have:*

$$cs(\varphi^*A) - cs(A) - (u^*\Theta)^{H_0} = d\langle (Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)) \wedge (u^*\theta)^{H_0} \rangle.$$

Proof. We have that $\varphi^*A = A_0 + u^{-1}d_{A_0}u + Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)$. Also note that $u^{-1}du = u^*\theta$. Therefore we may write: $\varphi^*A - A_0 = (u^*\theta)^{H_0} + Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)$.

Then since A_0 is flat we have:

$$\begin{aligned} cs(\varphi^*A) - cs(A) + \frac{1}{6}\langle (u^*\theta)^{H_0} \wedge [(u^*\theta)^{H_0} \wedge (u^*\theta)^{H_0})] \rangle \\ = \langle (u^*\theta)^{H_0} \wedge (Ad_{u^{-1}}F_A) \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle (u^*\theta)^{H_0} \wedge [Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0) \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)] \rangle \\ - \frac{1}{2}\langle Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0) \wedge [(u^*\theta)^{H_0} \wedge (u^*\theta)^{H_0}] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand the RHS of the equation in the lemma can be rewritten as

$$\langle d_{A_0}(Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)) \wedge (u^*\theta)^{H_0} \rangle - \langle (Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)) \wedge d_{A_0}((u^*\theta)^{H_0}) \rangle. \qquad (1)$$

Using the fact that A_0 is flat, we observe:

Claim: For any $\alpha \in \Omega^1(P; V)$ where V is any real vector space

$$d_{A_0}(\alpha^{H_0}) = (d\alpha)^{H_0}.$$

Proof. It suffices to consider horizontal vector fields X, Y. Observe

$$\begin{aligned} d_{A_0}(\alpha^{H_0})(X, Y) &= d(\alpha^{H_0})(X, Y) \\ &= X\alpha(Y) - Y\alpha(X) - \alpha^{H_0}([X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

Since H_0 is integrable, $[X, Y]$ is horizontal. Thus we have

$$d_{A_0}(\alpha^{H_0})(X, Y) = d\alpha(X, Y),$$

which proves the claim.

Also recall that $d\theta = -\frac{1}{2}[\theta \wedge \theta]$. Then applying the claim above we have:

$$d_{A_0}((u^*\theta)^{H_0}) = (u^*d\theta)^{H_0} = -\frac{1}{2}[(u^*\theta)^{H_0} \wedge (u^*\theta)^{H_0}]. \tag{2}$$

Now we have(see the proof of 4.3 above):

$$d_{A_0}(Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)) = (Ad_{u^{-1}}ad(u^{-1^*}\theta))^{H_0} \wedge (A - A_0) + Ad_{u^{-1}}d_{A_0}(A - A_0). \tag{3}$$

We rewrite the first term of the RHS of (3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(Ad_{u^{-1}}ad(u^{-1^*}\theta))^{H_0} \wedge (A - A_0) &= ((Ad_{u^{-1}}ad(u^{-1^*}\theta)) \wedge (A - A_0))^{H_0} \\ &= Ad_{u^{-1}}[(u^{-1^*}\theta)^{H_0} \wedge (A - A_0)].\end{aligned}$$

Since $u^{-1^*}\theta = -Ad_u u^*\theta$, we finally write:

$$(Ad_{u^{-1}}ad(u^{-1^*}\theta))^{H_0} \wedge (A - A_0) = -[(u^*\theta)^{H_0} \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)]. \tag{4}$$

Also we note that

$$d_{A_0}(A - A_0) = d(A - A_0) + [A_0 \wedge (A - A_0)] = F_A - \frac{1}{2}[(A - A_0) \wedge (A - A_0)]. \tag{5}$$

We insert (4) and (5) to (3) to have:

$$\begin{aligned}d_{A_0}(Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)) = &-[(u^*\theta)^{H_0} \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)] + Ad_{u^{-1}}F_A \\ &- \frac{1}{2}[Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0) \wedge Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)].\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Then we insert (2) and (6) to (1) to conclude the lemma. □

Let $P \to M$ and G be as in the above. Let A be any connection on P and H be the horizontal distribution of P given by A. Let $\varphi : P \to P$ and $u : P \to G$ be as in the above and $\hat{u} : M \to Ad\ P$ be defined by $\hat{u}(x) = [p, u(p)]$ choosing any $p \in P_x$. Let $\alpha \in \Omega^k(G; \mathbb{R})$ be a bi-invariant form. Then we have the form $E_A(\alpha) \in \Omega^k(Ad\ P; \mathbb{R})$ as in §6 above. Also note that $u^*\alpha$ is an invariant form on P and therefore that $(u^*\alpha)^H$ can be regarded as a form on M.

Lemma 8.2. *We have the equality,* $(u^*\alpha)^H = \hat{u}^*(E_A(\alpha))$, *as forms on* M.

Proof. Let $X_1, \cdots, X_k \in T_xM$. Let $\tilde{X}_1, \cdots, \tilde{X}_k \in T_pP$ be the horizontal lifting with respect to H where p is an arbitrarily chosen point from P_x. Then we have:

$$(u^*\alpha)^H(X_1, \cdots, X_k) = \alpha(du\tilde{X}_1, \cdots, du\tilde{X}_k).$$

On the other hand we have:

$$\hat{u}^*(E_A(\alpha))(X_1, \cdots, X_k) = (E_A(\alpha))(d\hat{u}X_1, \cdots, d\hat{u}X_k).$$

Recall from §6 the map $\kappa_p : (Ad\ P)_x \to G$ and the projection $\pi_A : T(Ad\ P) \to \bar{V}$ given by the decomposition $T(Ad\ P) = \bar{V} \oplus \bar{H}$. Then we have:

$$(E_A\alpha)(d\hat{u}X_1, \cdots, d\hat{u}X_k) = \alpha(d\kappa_p\pi_A d\hat{u}X_1, \cdots, d\kappa_p\pi_A d\hat{u}X_k).$$

The proof is complete if we observe:

Claim: For any $X \in T_xM$ let $\tilde{X} \in T_pP$ be the horizontal lifting with respect to A. Then we have $d\kappa_p\pi_A d\hat{u}X = du\tilde{X}$.

Proof: Let X be represented by a C^1-curve $\gamma : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to M$, that is, assume $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = X$. Let let $\tilde{\gamma} : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to P$ be the horizontal lifting such

that $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = p$. Then we have $\hat{u}(\gamma(t)) = [\tilde{\gamma}(t), u(\tilde{\gamma}(t))] = q(\tilde{\gamma}(t), u(\tilde{\gamma}(t)))$, where $q : P \times G \to Ad\ P$ is the projection. This means that we have:

$$d\hat{u}X = dq(\tilde{X}, du\tilde{X}) \in T_{[p,u(p)]}Ad\ P,$$

understanding $T_{(p,u(p))}(P \times G) \equiv T_pP \oplus T_{u(p)}G$. It follows that $\pi_A d\hat{u}X = dq(0, du\tilde{X})$, which is represented by the curve $[p, u(\tilde{\gamma}(t))]$. Therefore $d\kappa_p \pi_A d\hat{u}X$ is represented by the curve $u(\tilde{\gamma}(t))$, which proves the claim. □

Now let M be a closed oriented 3-manifold and $P \to M$ admit a flat connection A_0. If $CS : \mathcal{A}(P) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Chern-Simons functional defined by the reference connection A_0, Lemma 8.1 and 8.2 above imply that

$$CS(\varphi^* A) - CS(A) = \int_M \hat{u}^* E_{A_0}(\Theta).$$

In particular, note that the 2-form $\langle (Ad_{u^{-1}}(A - A_0)) \wedge (u^*\theta)^{H_0} \rangle$ in the equation of Lemma 8.1 is invariant and horizontal and therefore the equation holds on M.

If G is connected and compact, $E_{A_0}[\Theta] \in H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ does not depend on the flat connection A_0 by Theorem 7.4 above. Therefore $\deg \varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ is well-defined by the following:

Definition 8.3. Let $P \to M$ be a flat bundle over an oriented closed 3-manifold whose structure group is connected and compact. If $\varphi : P \to P$ is a gauge transformation and $\hat{u} : M \to Ad\ P$ is the map determined by φ, we define $\deg \varphi$ by

$$\deg \varphi = \int_M \hat{u}^* E_A(\Theta) \in \mathbb{R}$$

by choosing any flat connection A on P.

Furthermore, under the assumption that the structure group is compact and connected, Theorem 7.4 above says that there exists an Ad-invariant inner product on the Lie algebra so that $E_A[\Theta]$ is an integral class of $H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(Ad\ P)$ for any flat connection A. Therefore, by adopting this inner product, we may let $\deg \varphi$ be an integer for any gauge transformation φ, if necessary.

Since the choice of a reference connection affects the functional $CS : \mathcal{A}(P) \to \mathbb{R}$ only by addition of a constant, by Lemma 8.1 and 2 above we have:

Theorem 8.4. *Let $P \to M$ be a flat bundle over an oriented closed 3-manifold with a connected compact structure group. Let CS denote the Chern-Simons functional defined by choosing a reference connection. Then for any gauge transformation $\varphi : P \to P$ and any connection A on P we have:*

$$CS(\varphi^* A) - CS(A) = \deg \varphi.$$

References

[1] D. Bleeker, Gauge theory and variational principles(Global analysis, pure and applied; no. 1), Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp. Inc. , 1981

[2] B. Booss and D. D. Bleeker, Topology and Analysis,the Atiyah-Singer index formula and gauge theoretic physics, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg-Tokyo, 1985

[3] S. Chern and J. Simons, Characteristic forms and geometric invariants, Ann. of Math. (2) **99** (1974), 48-69.

[4] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg, Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1948), 85–124.

[5] R. Dijkgraaf and E. Witten, Topological gauge theories and group cohomology, Comm. Math. Phys. **129** (1990), no. 2, 393–429.

[6] S. Dostoglou and D. Salamon, Instanton homology and symplectic fixed points, in Symplectic geometry, edited by D. Salamon, LMS Lecture Note Series 192, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993, 57–93.
[7] D. S. Freed, Remarks on Chern-Simons theory, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (2009), no. 2, 221–254.
[8] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
[9] H. Kachi, Homotopy groups of compact Lie groups E 6 ,E 7 and E 8, Nagoya Math. J. **32** (1968) 109–139.
[10] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. II. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 15 Vol. II Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1969
[11] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka, Dehn surgery, the fundamental group and $SU(2)$, Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), no. 6, 741–754.
[12] K. Wehrheim, Energy identity for anti-self-dual instantons on $\mathbb{C} \times \Sigma$, Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), no. 1, 161–166.

Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea
E-mail address: yhbyun@hanyang.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea
E-mail address: kjh0423@hanyang.ac.kr